

## SHIPPING INTELLIGENCE.

## ARRIVED AT HONOLULU.

Friday, February 1.  
 Am. ship, *Benito*, from San Francisco, 12 days.  
 Am. ship, *Merced*, from San Francisco, 12 days.  
 T. K. Nippon Maru, from the Orient.  
 L. I. ship, *Iwalele*, from Honolulu.  
 L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, from Honolulu.

Saturday, February 2.  
 O. S. S. *Sierra*, from Honolulu, 12 days.  
 Am. ship, *Charles F. Cross*, from Honolulu, 12 days.  
 W. ship, *Albatross*, from Honolulu, 12 days.  
 L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, from Honolulu, 12 days.

Sunday, February 3.  
 Am. ship, *James Drummond*, from Honolulu, 12 days.  
 W. ship, *Albatross*, from Honolulu, 12 days.  
 L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, from Honolulu, 12 days.  
 L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, from Honolulu, 12 days.

Monday, February 4.  
 Am. ship, *W. G. Hall*, from Honolulu, 12 days.  
 L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, from Honolulu, 12 days.

## SAILED FROM HONOLULU.

Friday, February 1.  
 L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, for Kaula.

Saturday, February 2.  
 T. K. Nippon Maru, for the Sound in ballast.  
 Am. ship, *Florence*, for the Sound in ballast.  
 Nor. ship, *Prince Albert*, for the Sound in ballast.

Sunday, February 3.  
 O. S. S. *Sierra*, for the Sound in ballast.

Monday, February 4.  
 L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, for Kaula.

L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, for Kaula.

## TO SAIL TODAY.

W. ship, *Kinau*, for Hilo and way ports, at 12 noon.

W. ship, *Claudia*, for Maui and Hawaii ports, at 5 p. m.

W. ship, *Lehua*, for Maui and Hawaii ports, at 5 p. m.

L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, for Kaula.

L. I. ship, *W. G. Hall*, for Kaula.

NEXT MAIL FOR FRISCO.  
 Tuesday, February 12, per P. M. S. S. *Rio de Janeiro*.

NEXT MAIL FROM FRISCO.  
 Friday, February 8, per P. M. S. S. *China*.

MAHUKONA SHIPPING.  
 Departed-January 26, Brig *Consuelo*, for San Francisco, cargo, 425,813 lbs sugar, 223 hides. Total value, \$17,425.80.

Arrived—February 1, *Schr. Emma*, from San Francisco, 12 days.

Shipping Notes.  
 From Makawili the *Mikahala* arrived with 5,117 bags of sugar for H. Waterhouse & Company, H. P. Baldwin, W. G. Cooke, W. A. Alden and wife, H. W. Jaeger and Miss M. Christian were passengers.

From Nawiliwili the *W. G. Hall* came with 5,835 bags of sugar for H. Waterhouse & Company, and the following passengers: C. W. Spitz, H. G. Danforth, B. Navale, Miss Sarah Charman, P. Rice, Leo F. Prescott, Mrs. Lewis Lohr, R. Isenberg, Miss Lucy Akamihoe, C. D. Stone, Mrs. Alfred Smith, Miss Mamie Labenz, John D. Lydgate and 25 on deck.

The *Kinau* brought the following people from Hilo and way ports on Saturday: Judge M. E. Estee and wife, Miss E. H. Ryan, Marshall D. A. Ray, J. C. Baird, W. Maline, J. E. Walcott, H. E. Henrick, Sam Nowlin, Miss Pearl Hassock, W. H. Lewis, C. Kaiser, A. A. Brainer, M. Rosenberr, C. H. Leaf, H. Johnson, W. C. Boutelle, J. H. Fisher, Mrs. C. B. Berger and child, O. Shioh, Mrs. C. H. Pula, John Lewis, J. P. Oke, L. R. Crook, C. L. Day, W. T. Whitely, C. S. Holloway, J. S. McCandless, Oscar Roth, Rev. G. Uneczar, F. C. Allen and wife, D. Conway, H. Rickard, W. Hoes, G. Wilder, R. E. Innes, Mrs. J. L. Richards, Mrs. C. B. Richards, Dr. Okawara and wife, J. A. Hughes, Mrs. Manowal, G. K. Wilder and wife, Master J. B. Walcott, S. G. Wilder and 26 deck passengers.

The *Claudia*, Captain Parker, brought 4,500 bags of sugar, 55 bags of corn, 110 bags of taro, 67 hogs, 1 horse, 1 collar and 24 packages of sundries from Maui and Hawaii ports. Passengers arriving on the *Claudia* were: Dr. J. H. Raymond, C. E. Miller, Mrs. D. Center and three children, S. C. Sacko, Miss B. Kamahala, Miss S. Daniels, George Maxwell, S. E. Rogers, J. Wilcox and wife, Mrs. Meyer and child, J. D. Dargrett, Rev. J. Kekili, Tang Young, E. Hosoth, Wm. Maule, Yeung Chong, Choy Hong, Miss Knapp, C. Jones, Tienan, W. E. Skinner, Geo. Yearlson, R. S. Andrews, J. M. Bright, E. K. Norton, Hon. David Kanuha, A. Rosa and wife, Miss M. Pimental, R. E. Ford, A. Dowsett, W. R. Buchanan, A. Gullison, R. T. Searle, Mrs. C. H. Brown and servant, A. S. Cantin and forty deck passengers.

Notice to Shippers.  
 M. R. Branch Hydrographic Office, San Francisco, Cal.

By communicating with the Branch Hydrographic Office in San Francisco, captains of vessels will co-operate with the Hydrographic Office by recording the meteorological observations suggested by the office, can have forwarded to them at any desired port, and free of expense, the monthly pilot charts of the North Pacific Ocean, and the latest information regarding the danger to navigation in the waters which they frequent.

Mariners are requested to report to the office dangers discovered, or any other information which can be utilized for the publication of the pilot charts of the North Pacific.

C. G. CALKINS,  
 Agent, Comer, U. S. M., in Charge

## JURY COURT

## Grand Jury Charged by Circuit Judge.

## MACFARLANE WAS MISSING

## Winter Estate Insolvent—Testa Wore

## His Coat and a Boutonniere

## Y ste day.

The second Grand Jury for Oahu met yesterday morning in the Circuit Court and listened to a charge read by the first Circuit Judge. The jury's foreman is E. Faxon Bishop and its other members, Samuel B. Rose, James G. Spencer, Charles J. Campbell, David K. Unanue, John D. Holt, Jr., Samuel Nowlin, Abraham Fernandez, Thomas J. Kug, Howard A. Parmelee, Arthur L. Soule, John S. Low and William Auld.

John Ena was excused on account of illness and Frank Brown, Frederick J. Lowrey, A. B. Wood, S. M. Spencer, C. J. McCarthy, E. D. Tenney, Ed. Towse, Mammie Phillips and James F. Morgan for business reasons. The charge was lengthy and rambling and mostly on subjects outside the Judge's jurisdiction. Municipal government was urged, police informers were denounced, and the Board of Health and the police department held accountable for illness.

The jury was instructed to examine the Insane Asylum and to investigate the need of a resident physician. The Territorial prison was set down for examination, as also the Reform School.

## MACFARLANE IN JEOPARDY.

Clarence W. Macfarlane failed to appear in court as one of the twenty-four Grand Jurors impaneled for the February term. When the Judge opened his charge the Grand Jury was "one man short." Without delay the Judge ordered the clerk to make out a bench warrant directed to the High Sheriff of the Territory, to arrest Clarence Macfarlane. The clerk issued the following:

"Clarence W. Macfarlane having been duly summoned to appear at 10 o'clock a. m. this day as a Grand Juror in this court at its present February term, 1901, and having neglected and refused to obey said summons and appear as aforesaid, you are hereby commanded forthwith to arrest said Clarence W. Macfarlane and to bring him before said Circuit Court at the February term now being held at Honolulu."

High Sheriff Brown went out upon the public highways, found the truant juror, and made notation on the warrant to the effect that he had been found at 10:20 o'clock a. m. No punishment has as yet been imposed.

## WINTER ESTATE INSOLVENT.

H. L. Marx, administrator of the estate of J. W. Winter, deceased, has brought suit against Phoebe A. Parmelee, involving thirty shares of the stock of the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, of which the decedent was the late secretary.

The administrator says the estate of J. W. Winter is insolvent and that he brought suit to realize on all the assets of said estate for the purpose of paying the debts of said deceased, unsecured claims amounting to over \$5,000 having been filed with and allowed by plaintiff. The administrator recites that the plaintiff and defendant, being parties to a question of difference in all the assets of said estate, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

The note was assigned and said collateral security delivered to the defendant, Phoebe A. Parmelee. No transfer or record of the pledge has been made on the books of the company. The plaintiff claims the value of the defendant's security, which might be the subject of a civil action, have agreed upon a submission of facts. The deceased on February 12, 1894, being a stockholder in the Hollister Drug Company, Limited, signed a promissory note payable to the company, and deposited the same with a collateral security note payable to the company stock.

## MORMON PRESIDENT CANNON TELLS OF VISIT TO HAWAII

RESIDENT GEORGE Q. CANNON returned to Salt Lake yesterday morning from his trip to the Sandwich Islands, where he went to participate in the semi-centennial jubilee held there December 1851-1854 in celebration of the introduction of Mormonism into those islands, says the Salt Lake Tribune. President Cannon was accompanied by Mrs. Cannon and three sons, Mrs. Emily Cannon and son, and Mr. and Mrs. W. W. Cannon. They left Salt Lake November 25th, and on December 25th sailed from San Francisco, arriving at Honolulu on the 10th following. The party was met at the wharf by President Woolley and a number of elders and native Saints, and taken to cottages in comfortable quarters.

President Cannon was given a general reception on the following day in the local meeting-house, where there was a large turnout, and the native Saints decorated Mr. and Mrs. Cannon with yellow wreaths, the native favorite color. One old woman, said to be over 100 years of age, fell at Mr. Cannon's feet and embraced his knees. President Cannon delivered the principal address at the celebration, which began on the morning of the 12th, before 1,200 people, many coming from other islands, and there were many extended programs of great interest. A feature was a genuine Hawaiian luau-feast in the Government clubhouse in the afternoon, and in the evening there was a stereopticon exhibition of Hawaiian views. Queen Liliuokalani was present at the meeting on the 12th, when, by request, President Cannon delivered an address in Hawaiian. He and the ex-Queen held a pleasant social chat afterward and the Utah party were all introduced to her. She spoke very kindly of her visit to Salt Lake City.

The party were generously entertained, were driven everywhere and the features of Hawaiian life and character of the islands fully explained. The natives placed yellow wreaths on Mr. Cannon's head daily, there was serenading every evening, and the evening before the party departed a native feast was arranged for their benefit. Mr. Cannon spoke for thirty minutes in Hawaiian. The party enjoyed a Christmas Day bath in the ocean, which was followed by a big Christmas dinner, and on the 26th the party left for a visit to other islands, followed by the best wishes of many friends. Many places were viewed where the missionary elders in the early days had met with thrilling experiences, and on the 29th the pilgrims returned to Honolulu, and until January 5th, the day for sailing for home, the time was one continued enjoyment.

On the wharf on leaving, President Cannon was fairly weighed down with flowers, and then the steamer Zealandia carried the party northward. San Francisco was safely reached January 13th. President Cannon gained several pounds by the trip and returns much improved in health.

J. A. Rodanet, executor of the estate. The report shows that \$104.25, realized from the sale of decedent's business at Aiea, and furniture at Kona. Of this \$47.75 has been expended, leaving a balance of \$56.50. Two bequests of \$50 each were mentioned in the will to be paid to John Todd and William Todd, and the master recommends should be paid. M. Gusman Silva has filed a bill of particulars against J. A. Magoon, administrator of the estate of Antonio Fernandez, deceased. The bill is for \$435, covering debts of various kinds which the decedent in his lifetime owed to the plaintiff.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

W. W. Ahana has filed a statutory demand on the complaint brought against him by Gehring & Butzke.

## IMPORTANT NEWS FROM THE ORIENT

## Germany Is After a Coaling Station in the Far East.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond recall.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 29.—The hankering of Germany for a coaling station in the Far East is arousing uneasiness in Java, observes a Straits paper. It is feared that Germany has now an eye on some island in the Indian archipelago. It has been reported that a German officer, a retired officer of the German navy, drew up plans for a settlement in the Lontar group to the north of Polo Lankawi (Siamese), not far from Penang. He proposed to turn the group to account as a field for German-Roman colonization. But when his plans were still in outline, he had to disclose them before the time, because certain parties were trying to be beforehand in that quarter. It is alleged that this interference caused the failure of the plans which aimed, all at once, at bringing the Lontar Government face to face with a German protectorate over the Lontar group as an event beyond